## SECTION 5

## Home Environment Support

All of the data about Home Environment Support were collected from students' parents via the Home Questionnaire, or "Early Learning Survey." The TIMSS \& PIRLS International Study Center conducted a series of analyses to establish that there was little or no discernable impact on the responses to the Home Questionnaire due to COVID-19 or delayed testing. However, throughout PIRLS' history, some countries have struggled to attain high participation rates from parents, and some countries have been unable to administer the Home Questionnaire. To caution readers about low response rates, there are designations in the exhibits. If data were available for less than 40 percent of students in a country, the country is designated with a " $y$," and the data are not reported. If data were available for 40-50 percent of students, the country is designated with an "x," and the data are reported but do not contribute to the International Average.

Many of the PIRLS 2021 Context Questionnaire items were combined into scales measuring a single underlying latent construct related to reading achievement. This section provides results for four scales: Home Socioeconomic Status, Home Early Literacy Activities Before Primary School, Parents Like Reading, and Could Do Early Literacy Tasks When Beginning Primary School.

PIRLS used item response theory (IRT) scaling methods, specifically the Rasch partial credit model (PCM), to place items on a scale and produce scale scores (see Chapter 15 in Methods and Procedures: PIRLS 2021 Technical Report). Each context questionnaire scale enabled students to be classified into regions corresponding to high, middle, and low values on the construct. The "About the Scale" tab associated with each exhibit contains the questionnaire items and describes how the three regions reported in the exhibit were defined in terms of combinations of response categories.

## Home Socioeconomic Status

The PIRLS 2021 results contribute to the large body of existing research showing a strong positive relationship between students' socioeconomic environment and their educational achievement.

New for PIRLS 2021, the Home Socioeconomic Status scale, or "home SES" scale, was adapted from the Home Resources for Learning scale reported in previous PIRLS cycles, which combined data from both fourth grade students and their parents. The updated PIRLS 2021 SES scale is based solely on parents' data collected using the PIRLS 2021 Home Questionnaire.

As shown in "About the Scale," the PIRLS 2021 Home Socioeconomic Status scale is based on parents' reports of resources within the home, as well as parental selfreports on education and occupation. Based on the scores for the SES scale, students were placed into three regions-"higher," "medium," and "lower" home SES according to their parents' reports.

Exhibit 5.1 presents for each country the percentages of students classified as having a "higher," "middle," or "lower" home SES accompanied by the percentages and average reading achievement of the students in each category. Countries are ordered by the percentage of students with "higher" SES, from highest to lowest.

Internationally, on average, 29 percent of the students were classified as having "higher" home SES, 48 percent with "middle" home SES, and 23 percent with "lower" home SES. Internationally, the results show a large difference of 86 points in average reading achievement between students with "higher" SES and "lower" SES (542 vs. 456). The average reading achievement for students with "middle" SES was 500 scale score points.

## Assessed Fourth Grade Students at the End of the School Year

$\bowtie$ Assessed one year later than originally scheduled
$\square$ Delayed Assessment of Fourth Grade Cohort at the Beginning of Fifth Grade

| Country |  | HigherSocioeconomic Status |  | MiddleSocioeconomic Status |  | LowerSocioeconomic Status |  | Average Scale Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent of Students | Average Achievement | Percent of Students | Average Achievement | Percent of Students | Average Achievement |  |
| Norway (5) |  | 57 (1.5) | 561 (1.9) | 37 (1.2) | 522 (2.7) | 6 (0.5) | 492 (4.2) | 11.3 (0.06) |
| Sweden | s | 56 (1.2) | 580 (3.0) | 38 (1.2) | 535 (3.1) | 6 (0.8) | 490 (7.2) | 11.3 (0.06) |
| Denmark |  | 54 (1.2) | 562 (2.5) | 41 (1.0) | 520 (2.7) | 5 (0.5) | 485 (5.6) | 11.2 (0.05) |
| Finland |  | 50 (1.2) | 571 (2.4) | 45 (1.1) | 538 (2.2) | 5 (0.4) | 490 (6.9) | 11.1 (0.04) |
| Ireland |  | 49 (1.9) | 608 (2.2) | 42 (1.6) | 564 (2.4) | 9 (0.8) | 520 (5.5) | 11.0 (0.08) |
| Northern Ireland | s | 47 (1.4) | 604 (3.4) | 41 (1.1) | 560 (3.3) | 11 (0.8) | 521 (5.7) | 10.9 (0.06) |
| Israel $\pitchfork$ | s | 46 (1.7) | 549 (2.6) | 46 (1.4) | 493 (3.6) | 9 (0.8) | 444 (8.4) | 10.8 (0.06) |
| Germany | s | 45 (1.7) | 569 (2.9) | 45 (1.5) | 523 (3.2) | 10 (0.8) | 478 (5.6) | 10.9 (0.07) |
| Malta | r | 45 (1.6) | 549 (3.1) | 47 (1.2) | 509 (3.0) | 8 (0.8) | 464 (8.1) | 10.8 (0.06) |
| Singapore |  | 45 (0.9) | 624 (2.7) | 49 (0.9) | 572 (3.3) | 6 (0.4) | 515 (8.2) | 10.9 (0.03) |
| Cyprus |  | 44 (1.3) | 545 (3.1) | 48 (1.1) | 497 (2.8) | 8 (0.5) | 450 (4.9) | 10.8 (0.05) |
| Slovenia |  | 43 (1.0) | 548 (2.0) | 49 (0.8) | 510 (2.1) | 8 (0.5) | 470 (4.6) | 10.7 (0.04) |
| Poland |  | 43 (1.5) | 576 (2.5) | 49 (1.2) | 536 (2.5) | 9 (0.8) | 505 (5.3) | 10.8 (0.06) |
| Belgium (French) | r | 42 (1.4) | 531 (3.0) | 45 (1.2) | 482 (3.2) | 13 (0.8) | 451 (3.6) | 10.7 (0.07) |
| Hungary | r | 42 (1.6) | 582 (3.4) | 42 (1.2) | 537 (3.2) | 17 (1.3) | 462 (6.4) | 10.6 (0.08) |
| Czech Republic |  | 41 (1.3) | 574 (2.4) | 51 (1.2) | 531 (2.3) | 8 (0.8) | 485 (5.0) | 10.8 (0.05) |
| Austria |  | 41 (1.5) | 568 (2.6) | 48 (1.3) | 517 (2.0) | 11 (0.6) | 470 (4.2) | 10.8 (0.06) |
| Latvia |  | 39 (1.5) | 553 (4.1) | 51 (1.4) | 521 (3.5) | 11 (1.1) | 487 (5.9) | 10.6 (0.06) |
| Belgium (Flemish) |  | 38 (1.4) | 541 (2.7) | 48 (1.0) | 504 (2.5) | 13 (0.8) | 475 (3.8) | 10.5 (0.06) |
| Chinese Taipei |  | 38 (1.3) | 569 (1.9) | 48 (0.9) | 537 (2.4) | 14 (0.9) | 502 (4.4) | 10.5 (0.06) |
| Spain |  | 38 (1.4) | 550 (3.0) | 46 (1.1) | 514 (2.1) | 16 (0.8) | 488 (3.9) | 10.4 (0.06) |
| France |  | 37 (1.4) | 553 (2.6) | 51 (1.2) | 505 (2.5) | 12 (0.7) | 462 (4.9) | 10.5 (0.06) |
| Hong Kong SAR |  | 36 (1.8) | 592 (2.5) | 46 (1.2) | 572 (2.9) | 18 (1.2) | 545 (4.3) | 10.4 (0.08) |
| Bulgaria |  | 34 (1.3) | 589 (2.7) | 40 (1.3) | 545 (3.1) | 25 (1.2) | 469 (6.7) | 9.9 (0.07) |
| Georgia |  | 34 (1.2) | 521 (3.0) | 54 (1.1) | 489 (3.0) | 12 (0.9) | 451 (7.0) | 10.5 (0.05) |
| Slovak Republic |  | 32 (1.4) | 566 (2.4) | 48 (1.5) | 532 (2.7) | 20 (1.8) | 474 (9.0) | 10.1 (0.08) |
| Russian Federation |  | 31 (1.6) | 596 (2.7) | 58 (1.6) | 561 (4.0) | 11 (1.2) | 521 (6.8) | 10.4 (0.06) |
| Italy |  | 29 (1.4) | 568 (2.8) | 50 (1.1) | 537 (1.9) | 21 (1.2) | 504 (3.1) | 10.1 (0.06) |
| Portugal |  | 29 (1.1) | 555 (2.8) | 47 (0.8) | 518 (1.9) | 25 (0.9) | 488 (3.0) | 9.9 (0.05) |
| Serbia |  | 29 (1.4) | 553 (2.7) | 49 (1.2) | 512 (3.1) | 22 (1.6) | 469 (5.7) | 10.0 (0.07) |
| Macao SAR |  | 27 (0.6) | 557 (2.3) | 52 (0.8) | 532 (1.5) | 21 (0.6) | 518 (2.6) | 10.0 (0.02) |
| Croatia |  | 27 (1.3) | 590 (2.5) | 56 (1.0) | 555 (2.6) | 17 (1.0) | 515 (4.7) | 10.1 (0.06) |
| Montenegro |  | 23 (0.7) | 521 (2.6) | 56 (0.7) | 489 (2.0) | 21 (0.6) | 448 (2.9) | 9.9 (0.03) |
| United Arab Emirates | s | 23 (0.7) | 563 (2.9) | 64 (0.7) | 496 (2.4) | 13 (0.5) | 408 (4.5) | 10.1 (0.03) |
| Qatar | r | 20 (1.5) | 539 (5.4) | 65 (1.7) | 495 (4.6) | 15 (1.2) | 434 (6.6) | 10.0 (0.06) |
| Bahrain |  | 19 (1.0) | 524 (5.4) | 57 (1.0) | 464 (3.4) | 24 (0.8) | 408 (5.1) | 9.6 (0.04) |
| North Macedonia |  | 19 (1.5) | 491 (5.3) | 48 (1.5) | 456 (4.3) | 33 (2.2) | 399 (6.4) | 9.4 (0.10) |
| Kosovo |  | 16 (1.7) | 472 (5.6) | 48 (1.4) | 427 (3.2) | 36 (1.7) | 392 (3.5) | 9.2 (0.09) |
| Turkiye |  | 15 (1.0) | 564 (3.8) | 46 (1.3) | 516 (2.8) | 40 (1.8) | 454 (4.7) | 9.1 (0.09) |
| Kazakhstan |  | 11 (0.7) | 532 (4.6) | 71 (1.0) | 505 (2.8) | 18 (0.9) | 482 (3.9) | 9.7 (0.03) |
| Oman |  | 11 (0.6) | 480 (6.1) | 61 (1.1) | 441 (3.9) | 28 (1.1) | 387 (5.1) | 9.4 (0.04) |
| Albania |  | 10 (0.8) | 574 (4.5) | 35 (1.2) | 531 (3.4) | 56 (1.5) | 492 (3.6) | 8.4 (0.07) |
| Saudi Arabia | r | 8 (0.6) | 489 (6.6) | 62 (1.6) | 460 (3.3) | 30 (1.7) | 435 (6.2) | 9.2 (0.05) |
| Azerbaijan |  | 8 (0.7) | 486 (6.9) | 48 (1.1) | 452 (4.1) | 44 (1.3) | 419 (4.3) | 8.9 (0.05) |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of $\bowtie$ |  | 7 (0.9) | 493 (6.2) | 37 (1.4) | 445 (3.8) | 55 (1.8) | 381 (5.8) | 8.4 (0.08) |
| Uzbekistan |  | 6 (0.6) | 474 (5.9) | 55 (1.2) | 445 (2.9) | 39 (1.5) | 421 (3.3) | 8.9 (0.05) |
| Brazil $\ltimes$ |  | 5 (0.6) | 546 (10.1) | 31 (1.2) | 474 (5.0) | 64 (1.4) | 390 (6.5) | 8.1 (0.07) |
| South Africa $\ltimes$ | r | 5 (0.6) | 445 (16.0) | 34 (1.0) | 334 (6.8) | 61 (1.1) | 265 (4.2) | 8.2 (0.05) |
| Jordan |  | 4 (0.6) | 457 (12.8) | 48 (1.4) | 403 (5.7) | 47 (1.5) | 352 (6.3) | 8.6 (0.05) |
| Egypt |  | 4 (0.4) | 415 (13.2) | 42 (1.4) | 398 (5.0) | 54 (1.5) | 365 (7.0) | 8.4 (0.05) |
| Morocco |  | 3 (0.3) | 426 (18.4) | 22 (1.0) | 401 (5.6) | 76 (1.0) | 364 (5.1) | 7.1 (0.06) |
| International Average |  | 29 (0.2) | 542 (0.8) | 48 (0.2) | 500 (0.5) | 23 (0.2) | 456 (0.8) |  |
| New Zealand | x | 55 (1.5) | 566 (3.2) | 38 (1.4) | 516 (4.5) | 7 (0.7) | 482 (7.5) | 11.3 (0.06) |
| Netherlands | x | 49 (1.5) | 559 (3.0) | 43 (1.4) | 530 (3.5) | 7 (0.8) | 497 (6.8) | 11.0 (0.06) |
| Lithuania | y | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Australia $\bowtie$ |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| England $\bowtie$ |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| United States |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moscow City, Russian Federation |  | 63 (1.3) | 609 (2.1) | 35 (1.2) | 581 (2.4) | 2 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 11.6 (0.05) |
| Newfoundland \& Labrador, Canada | s | 58 (2.1) | 548 (4.5) | 40 (2.1) | 514 (4.0) | 2 ~ | ~ | 11.4 (0.06) |
| British Columbia, Canada | s | 56 (2.5) | 569 (4.2) | 40 (2.0) | 540 (4.0) | 4 (1.0) | 507 (11.0) | 11.4 (0.10) |
| Alberta, Canada | s | 52 (2.0) | 575 (3.0) | 45 (1.8) | 543 (3.9) | 3 (0.5) | 500 (17.3) | 11.2 (0.08) |
| Quebec, Canada | r | 50 (1.9) | 574 (3.5) | 46 (1.6) | 548 (3.5) | 4 (0.5) | 526 (7.5) | 11.1 (0.06) |
| Abu Dhabi, UAE | s | 22 (0.9) | 542 (5.2) | 63 (0.9) | 467 (4.1) | 15 (0.7) | 357 (7.3) | 10.0 (0.03) |
| South Africa (6) $\propto$ |  | 5 (0.6) | 488 (17.3) | 37 (1.0) | 425 (5.9) | 58 (1.2) | 359 (4.8) | 8.3 (0.05) |
| Dubai, UAE | x | 38 (1.1) | 607 (2.7) | 55 (1.1) | 551 (3.0) | 7 (0.4) | 456 (5.8) | 10.7 (0.03) |

This PIRLS context questionnaire scale was established in 2021 based on the combined response distribution of PIRLS 2021 participating countries that assessed fourth grade students at the end of the school year in 2020 or 2021. To provide a point of reference for country comparisons, the scale centerpoint of 10 was located at the mean of the combined distribution. The units of the scale were chosen so that 2 scale score points corresponded to the standard deviation of the distribution.
( ) Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because of rounding some results may appear inconsistent.
An "r" indicates data are available for at least $70 \%$ but less than $85 \%$ of the students.
An "s" indicates data are available for at least $50 \%$ but less than $70 \%$ of the students.
An " $x$ " indicates data are available for at least $40 \%$ but less than $50 \%$ of the students-interpret with caution.
A " $y$ " indicates data are available for less than $40 \%$ of the students.
A tilde ( $\sim$ ) indicates insufficient data to report result. A dash (-) indicates comparable data not available.


#### Abstract

About the Scale Students were scored according to their parents' reports regarding the four indicators on the Home Socioeconomic Status scale. Cut scores divide the scale into three categories. Students with Higher socioeconomic status had a score at or above the cut score corresponding to their parents reporting they had more than 25 books and more than 25 children's books in their home, that at least one parent finished university, and that at least one parent had a professional occupation, on average. Students with Lower socioeconomic status had a score at or below the cut score corresponding to their parents reporting they had 25 or fewer books and 25 or fewer children's books in the home, that neither parent had gone beyond upper secondary education, and that neither parent was a small business owner or worked in a clerical or professional occupation, on average. All other students had Middle socioeconomic status.


Number of books in the home:

1) $0-10$
2) $11-25$
3) $26-100$
4) $101-200$
5) More than 200

Number of children's books in the home:

1) $0-10$
2) $11-25$
3) $26-50$
4) $51-100$
5) More than 100

Highest level of education of either parent:

1) Finished some primary or lower secondary or did not go to school
2) Finished lower secondary
3) Finished upper secondary
4) Finished post-secondary education
5) Finished university or higher

Highest level of occupation of either parent:

1) Has never worked outside home for pay, general laborer, or semi-professional (skilled agricultural or fishery worker, craft or trade worker, plant or machine operator)
2) Clerical (clerk or service or sales worker)
3) Small business owner
4) Professional (corporate manager or senior official, professional, or technician or associate professional)


SOURCE: IEA's Progress in International Reading Literacy Study - PIRLS 2021
Downloaded from https://pirls2021.org/results

## Home Early Literacy Activities Before Primary School

The Home Early Literacy Activities Before Primary School scale is based on parents' reports of how often they engaged their child in nine early literacy activities before primary school (see "About the Scale"). Based on the scale response categories and IRT scores, students in the "often" category had parents that frequently engaged them in such activities as reading books, telling stories, talking with them, playing word games, or writing. In comparison, students whose parents reported doing these activities never or only sometimes were placed in the "never or almost never" category. All of the other students "sometimes" were engaged in early literacy activities.

Exhibit 5.2 presents the percentages of students in each participating country whose parents reported they engaged their children in these activities "often," "sometimes," or "never or almost never" together with the students' average reading achievement for each of the three categories. Countries are ordered by the percentage of students whose parents engaged them in these activities "often."

Internationally on average, 42 percent of students had parents that engaged them in these early literacy activities "often" and another 55 percent were engaged in the activities "sometimes." Only a very small percentage of students (3\% on average) were "never or almost never" engaged in these activities. Engaging more frequently in literacy activities with young children appears to have a considerable impact on their reading achievement at the fourth grade. Average reading achievement was highest (517) for students whose parents "often" engaged them in these activities and noticeably lower (494) for students whose parents only "sometimes" engaged them in these activities. The average achievement was much lower (418) for the small percentage of students whose parents "never or almost never" engaged them in early literacy activities.

## Assessed Fourth Grade Students at the End of the School Year

$\bowtie$ Assessed one year later than originally scheduled
$\square$ Delayed Assessment of Fourth Grade Cohort at the Beginning of Fifth Grade

| Country |  | Often |  | Sometimes |  | Never or Almost Never |  | Average Scale Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent of Students | Average Achievement | Percent of Students | Average Achievement | Percent of Students | Average Achievement |  |
| Kazakhstan |  | 66 (0.9) | 510 (2.7) | 34 (0.9) | 496 (3.6) | 0 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 11.3 (0.04) |
| Russian Federation |  | 64 (1.3) | 573 (3.1) | 35 (1.2) | 558 (4.9) | 1 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 11.3 (0.07) |
| Northern Ireland | s | 64 (0.9) | 584 (3.2) | 35 (0.9) | 565 (3.9) | 1 ~ | ~ | 11.5 (0.04) |
| Georgia |  | 59 (1.1) | 501 (2.8) | 40 (1.1) | 489 (3.1) | 1 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 11.0 (0.05) |
| Croatia |  | 58 (1.1) | 569 (2.8) | 42 (1.1) | 543 (3.0) | $0 \sim$ | ~ | 11.0 (0.05) |
| Malta | r | 57 (1.2) | 532 (3.5) | 42 (1.2) | 512 (2.9) | $0 \sim$ | ~ | 11.1 (0.05) |
| Albania |  | 57 (1.5) | 528 (3.5) | 41 (1.4) | 498 (4.0) | $2 \sim$ | $\sim \sim$ | 10.9 (0.08) |
| Uzbekistan |  | 57 (1.7) | 445 (3.1) | 43 (1.7) | 427 (3.4) | 0 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 10.8 (0.06) |
| Ireland |  | 56 (1.1) | 592 (2.6) | 43 (1.0) | 569 (2.8) | 1 ~ | ~ | 11.0 (0.05) |
| Kosovo |  | 55 (1.3) | 434 (3.8) | 44 (1.3) | 410 (3.4) | 1 ~ | ~ | 10.8 (0.04) |
| Montenegro |  | 55 (0.9) | 499 (2.0) | 45 (0.9) | 474 (2.0) | 0 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 10.9 (0.03) |
| North Macedonia |  | 55 (1.2) | 455 (5.1) | 43 (1.2) | 435 (6.0) | $2 \sim$ | $\sim \sim$ | 10.9 (0.09) |
| Serbia |  | 54 (1.2) | 525 (3.5) | 46 (1.2) | 503 (3.2) | 0 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 10.8 (0.05) |
| Poland |  | 53 (0.9) | 559 (2.5) | 47 (1.0) | 541 (2.8) | $0 \sim$ | ~ | 10.8 (0.04) |
| Spain |  | 52 (0.8) | 535 (2.1) | 47 (0.8) | 510 (2.8) | 1 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 10.7 (0.03) |
| Italy |  | 52 (0.9) | 547 (2.5) | 47 (0.9) | 531 (2.4) | 1 ~ | ~ | 10.7 (0.03) |
| Cyprus |  | 51 (0.6) | 527 (3.1) | 48 (0.7) | 501 (3.2) | 1 ~ | $\sim$ | 10.7 (0.03) |
| Slovak Republic |  | 49 (1.1) | 541 (2.9) | 49 (1.2) | 527 (3.4) | $2 \sim$ | ~ | 10.5 (0.07) |
| Slovenia |  | 49 (1.0) | 531 (2.4) | 51 (1.0) | 516 (2.2) | $1 \sim$ | $\sim \sim$ | 10.6 (0.04) |
| Latvia |  | 48 (1.1) | 538 (3.2) | 51 (1.1) | 522 (3.4) | 1 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 10.5 (0.04) |
| Israel $\bowtie$ | s | 47 (1.0) | 527 (2.8) | 52 (1.0) | 504 (3.5) | 1 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 10.6 (0.04) |
| Hungary | r | 47 (1.0) | 551 (4.1) | 52 (1.0) | 538 (4.2) | 1 ~ | ~ | 10.5 (0.03) |
| Czech Republic |  | 46 (0.8) | 550 (2.7) | 54 (0.8) | 541 (2.3) | 0 ~ | ~ | 10.5 (0.03) |
| United Arab Emirates | s | 42 (0.7) | 522 (2.8) | 56 (0.7) | 483 (2.4) | 2 ~ | ~ | 10.3 (0.03) |
| Bulgaria |  | 41 (1.1) | 569 (2.8) | 50 (1.1) | 531 (3.8) | 9 (1.2) | 457 (9.3) | 9.9 (0.09) |
| France |  | 41 (0.9) | 531 (2.7) | 57 (0.9) | 510 (2.8) | 2 ~ | ~ | 10.2 (0.04) |
| Denmark |  | 41 (0.9) | 551 (2.6) | 58 (0.9) | 534 (2.5) | 1 ~ | ~ | 10.3 (0.04) |
| Germany | s | 40 (1.1) | 548 (3.5) | 59 (1.1) | 535 (3.1) | 1 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 10.3 (0.04) |
| Norway (5) |  | 39 (0.7) | 556 (2.5) | 59 (0.7) | 535 (2.1) | 1 ~ | ~ | 10.2 (0.03) |
| Saudi Arabia | $r$ | 39 (1.0) | 463 (4.5) | 58 (1.1) | 448 (3.8) | 3 (0.4) | 462 (12.1) | 10.2 (0.05) |
| South Africa $\ltimes$ | $r$ | 38 (0.9) | 319 (5.8) | 58 (0.8) | 284 (5.3) | 4 (0.5) | 233 (11.1) | 10.1 (0.05) |
| Bahrain |  | 38 (0.7) | 489 (3.6) | 60 (0.7) | 447 (3.3) | 2 ~ | ~ | 10.1 (0.03) |
| Sweden | s | 38 (1.1) | 569 (3.3) | 61 (1.1) | 551 (3.0) | 1 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 10.2 (0.04) |
| Austria |  | 37 (0.9) | 547 (2.7) | 61 (0.9) | 525 (2.4) | 1 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 10.1 (0.04) |
| Portugal |  | 37 (0.9) | 536 (2.4) | 62 (0.9) | 514 (2.2) | 1 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 10.1 (0.03) |
| Azerbaijan |  | 36 (1.0) | 459 (4.4) | 62 (1.0) | 431 (4.0) | $2 \sim$ | ~ | 10.1 (0.05) |
| Singapore |  | 35 (0.8) | 613 (2.8) | 62 (0.8) | 582 (3.5) | 4 (0.3) | 553 (7.3) | 10.0 (0.04) |
| Oman |  | 34 (1.0) | 456 (4.7) | 65 (1.0) | 420 (3.8) | 2 ~ | ~ | 10.0 (0.04) |
| Qatar | r | 33 (1.0) | 513 (5.3) | 65 (1.0) | 488 (4.4) | $2 \sim$ | ~ | 9.9 (0.04) |
| Finland |  | 33 (0.7) | 565 (2.4) | 66 (0.7) | 547 (2.5) | 1 ~ | ~ | 10.0 (0.02) |
| Turkiye |  | 31 (1.1) | 532 (3.7) | 57 (1.2) | 497 (3.1) | 13 (1.6) | 422 (6.7) | 9.3 (0.12) |
| Belgium (French) | r | 30 (1.0) | 514 (3.2) | 67 (1.0) | 494 (3.2) | 2 ~ | ~ | 9.8 (0.04) |
| Brazil』 |  | 30 (1.0) | 456 (5.2) | 63 (1.2) | 417 (5.2) | 7 (0.9) | 361 (23.4) | 9.6 (0.06) |
| Jordan |  | 29 (1.0) | 406 (5.5) | 66 (0.9) | 375 (6.3) | 5 (0.6) | 331 (11.4) | 9.6 (0.06) |
| Belgium (Flemish) |  | 27 (0.8) | 525 (2.8) | 71 (0.9) | 511 (2.5) | 2 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 9.6 (0.04) |
| Egypt |  | 27 (1.3) | 400 (7.0) | 67 (1.3) | 377 (5.3) | 7 (0.7) | 348 (13.0) | 9.4 (0.07) |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of $\propto$ |  | 24 (1.1) | 434 (5.2) | 71 (1.2) | 412 (4.9) | 5 (0.9) | 338 (21.3) | 9.4 (0.07) |
| Chinese Taipei |  | 18 (0.5) | 567 (3.0) | 76 (0.6) | 541 (2.2) | 6 (0.4) | 514 (5.8) | 9.1 (0.03) |
| Hong Kong SAR |  | 16 (0.8) | 591 (3.4) | 81 (0.8) | 571 (2.8) | 3 (0.3) | 560 (7.3) | 9.2 (0.04) |
| Morocco |  | 13 (0.7) | 410 (5.6) | 67 (1.4) | 377 (5.3) | 19 (1.6) | 333 (7.3) | 8.2 (0.10) |
| Macao SAR |  | 10 (0.4) | 547 (3.1) | 85 (0.4) | 535 (1.4) | 5 (0.3) | 523 (6.1) | 8.7 (0.02) |
| International Average |  | 42 (0.1) | 517 (0.5) | 55 (0.1) | 494 (0.5) | 3 (0.1) | 418 (3.4) |  |
| New Zealand | x | 59 (1.1) | 556 (3.7) | 40 (1.1) | 523 (4.2) | 1 ~ | ~ | 11.2 (0.05) |
| Netherlands | $x$ | 39 (1.3) | 549 (3.3) | 60 (1.4) | 539 (3.3) | 1 ~ | ~ | 10.2 (0.05) |
| Lithuania | y | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Australia $\ltimes$ |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| England $\bowtie$ |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| United States |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moscow City, Russian Federation |  | 71 (0.7) | 602 (2.1) | 28 (0.7) | 591 (2.6) | 0 ~ | ~ | 11.6 (0.03) |
| Newfoundland \& Labrador, Canada | s | 69 (1.3) | 542 (3.8) | 30 (1.3) | 517 (5.5) | 0 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 11.8 (0.07) |
| Alberta, Canada | s | 57 (1.7) | 564 (3.6) | 43 (1.6) | 550 (4.3) | 1 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 11.0 (0.07) |
| British Columbia, Canada | s | 55 (1.5) | 563 (4.1) | 44 (1.4) | 545 (4.1) | 1 ~ | $\sim \sim$ | 11.0 (0.07) |
| Quebec, Canada | r | 47 (0.9) | 566 (3.2) | 53 (0.9) | 554 (3.4) | 1 ~ | ~ | 10.5 (0.04) |
| Abu Dhabi, UAE | s | 38 (0.9) | 494 (4.1) | 60 (0.9) | 452 (3.9) | 3 (0.3) | 371 (18.2) | 10.1 (0.04) |
| South Africa (6) $\ltimes$ | r | 32 (1.3) | 419 (6.5) | 63 (1.3) | 379 (5.3) | 4 (0.5) | 359 (17.5) | 9.8 (0.07) |
| Dubai, UAE | X | 47 (1.0) | 583 (2.6) | 52 (1.0) | 550 (2.7) | 1 ~ | ~~ | 10.5 (0.04) |

This PIRLS context questionnaire scale was established in 2011 based on the combined response distribution of countries that participated in PIRLS 2011. To provide a point of reference for country comparisons, the scale centerpoint of 10 was located at the mean of the combined distribution. The units of the scale were chosen so that 2 scale score points corresponded to the standard deviation of the distribution.
( ) Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because of rounding some results may appear inconsistent
An "r" indicates data are available for at least $70 \%$ but less than $85 \%$ of the students.
An " $s$ " indicates data are available for at least $50 \%$ but less than $70 \%$ of the students.
An " $x$ " indicates data are available for at least $40 \%$ but less than $50 \%$ of the students-interpret with caution.
A " $y$ " indicates data are available for less than $40 \%$ of the students.
A tilde ( $\sim$ ) indicates insufficient data to report result. A dash (-) indicates comparable data not available.

## About the Scale

Students were scored according to their parents' reports regarding the frequency they or someone in the home engaged their children in the nine activities on the Early Literacy Activities scale. Cut scores divide the scale into three categories. Students who Often engaged in early literacy activities before primary school had a score at or above the cut score corresponding to their parents reporting they "often" did five of the nine activities and "sometimes" did the other four, on average. Students who Never or Almost Never engaged in early literacy activities before primary school had a score at or below the cut score corresponding to their parents reporting they "never or almost never" did five of the nine activities and "sometimes" did the other four, on average. All other students Sometimes engaged in early literacy activities before primary school.


[^0]
## Parents Like Reading

Young students who see adults and older children reading or using texts in a variety of different ways can learn to model these behaviors. In PIRLS 2021, students whose parents reported liking to read had higher average reading achievement than students whose parents were more ambivalent about reading.

The Parents Like Reading scale is based on parents' degree of agreement with a series of eight statements about reading enjoyment (such as "I like to spend my spare time reading." and "I would like to have more time for reading."), as well as their reports of how often they read for enjoyment (for further information see "About the Scale"). Based on their parents' responses, students were placed in three regions of the scale: "very much like," "somewhat like," or "do not like."

Exhibit 5.3 presents the percentages and average reading achievement of students whose parents reported that they "very much like," "somewhat like," or "do not like" reading. Countries are ordered according to the percentage of students whose parents "very much like" reading, from highest to lowest.

The results indicate a positive association between parents' liking to read and their children having higher reading achievement at the fourth grade. Across the PIRLS 2021 countries, on average, 30 percent of students whose parents "very much like" reading had higher average achievement than the 52 percent of the students whose parents only "somewhat like" reading (524 vs. 497, respectively). In turn, 17 percent of students whose parents "do not like" reading had the lowest average reading achievement (478).

## Assessed Fourth Grade Students at the End of the School Year

$\bowtie$ Assessed one year later than originally scheduled
$\square$ Delayed Assessment of Fourth Grade Cohort at the Beginning of Fifth Grade

| Country |  | Very Much Like Reading |  | Somewhat Like Reading |  | Do Not Like Reading |  | Average Scale Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent of Students | Average Achievement | Percent of Students | Average Achievement | Percent of Students | Average Achievement |  |
| Serbia |  | 51 (1.2) | 532 (3.0) | 39 (1.1) | 497 (3.6) | 10 (1.0) | 492 (6.5) | 10.4 (0.05) |
| Montenegro |  | 51 (0.8) | 505 (2.1) | 43 (0.7) | 472 (2.3) | 6 (0.4) | 455 (5.4) | 10.5 (0.03) |
| Azerbaijan |  | 46 (1.1) | 451 (4.2) | 46 (1.1) | 437 (4.5) | 8 (0.6) | 409 (7.6) | 10.4 (0.04) |
| Georgia |  | 44 (1.0) | 511 (3.0) | 50 (1.0) | 486 (3.0) | 6 (0.6) | 471 (12.9) | 10.2 (0.04) |
| Kosovo |  | 42 (1.3) | 441 (3.7) | 53 (1.2) | 410 (3.6) | 5 (0.6) | 385 (7.6) | 10.3 (0.04) |
| Ireland |  | 42 (1.2) | 599 (2.7) | 42 (1.1) | 574 (2.8) | 16 (0.7) | 555 (3.8) | 10.0 (0.05) |
| Italy |  | 41 (1.0) | 553 (2.6) | 45 (0.8) | 531 (2.4) | 13 (0.6) | 520 (3.2) | 10.1 (0.04) |
| North Macedonia |  | 41 (1.2) | 467 (4.7) | 49 (1.1) | 432 (5.5) | 10 (1.0) | 406 (10.2) | 10.1 (0.08) |
| Bulgaria |  | 41 (1.3) | 570 (3.2) | 41 (1.2) | 537 (4.0) | 18 (1.4) | 481 (7.0) | 9.8 (0.07) |
| Spain |  | 40 (0.9) | 540 (2.7) | 44 (0.8) | 517 (2.4) | 16 (0.6) | 498 (3.3) | 10.0 (0.03) |
| Uzbekistan |  | 39 (1.4) | 449 (3.1) | 57 (1.3) | 431 (3.2) | 4 (0.3) | 404 (8.8) | 10.3 (0.04) |
| Northern Ireland | s | 39 (1.1) | 595 (3.9) | 42 (1.2) | 569 (4.0) | 19 (0.9) | 556 (5.0) | 9.8 (0.05) |
| Denmark |  | 39 (1.0) | 557 (2.6) | 42 (0.9) | 539 (2.6) | 19 (0.7) | 514 (3.4) | 9.8 (0.04) |
| Finland |  | 38 (0.8) | 573 (2.6) | 44 (0.8) | 547 (2.6) | 18 (0.8) | 522 (3.2) | 9.9 (0.04) |
| Malta | $r$ | 37 (1.0) | 539 (3.7) | 47 (1.0) | 515 (2.7) | 15 (0.9) | 514 (4.8) | 9.8 (0.04) |
| Cyprus |  | 37 (0.8) | 535 (3.5) | 49 (0.9) | 505 (2.9) | 14 (0.6) | 491 (4.2) | 9.9 (0.03) |
| Poland |  | 36 (1.1) | 566 (3.1) | 47 (1.0) | 546 (2.2) | 17 (0.9) | 529 (4.8) | 9.8 (0.05) |
| Austria |  | 36 (1.1) | 559 (2.5) | 44 (1.1) | 524 (2.6) | 20 (0.8) | 504 (3.4) | 9.7 (0.05) |
| Albania |  | 35 (1.4) | 535 (3.6) | 56 (1.3) | 504 (3.5) | 9 (1.2) | 493 (7.6) | 10.0 (0.05) |
| Sweden | s | 34 (1.2) | 575 (3.7) | 50 (1.1) | 551 (3.6) | 16 (0.8) | 541 (4.0) | 9.7 (0.04) |
| Germany | s | 33 (1.0) | 562 (3.4) | 47 (1.0) | 540 (3.4) | 20 (0.9) | 504 (4.6) | 9.6 (0.04) |
| Czech Republic |  | 33 (0.9) | 564 (2.9) | 45 (0.8) | 543 (2.8) | 22 (0.7) | 522 (3.1) | 9.6 (0.04) |
| Norway (5) |  | 32 (1.0) | 560 (2.4) | 48 (0.9) | 540 (2.5) | 19 (0.8) | 520 (2.7) | 9.6 (0.04) |
| Slovak Republic |  | 32 (1.0) | 554 (3.1) | 47 (1.3) | 528 (3.1) | 21 (1.6) | 504 (6.6) | 9.5 (0.09) |
| Israel $\ltimes$ | s | 32 (1.0) | 542 (3.6) | 51 (1.1) | 503 (3.4) | 17 (0.8) | 497 (4.9) | 9.7 (0.04) |
| Turkiye |  | 31 (1.2) | 531 (3.2) | 48 (1.4) | 497 (3.4) | 21 (1.8) | 453 (6.4) | 9.5 (0.09) |
| Hungary | $r$ | 30 (0.9) | 569 (3.6) | 50 (1.0) | 541 (4.3) | 20 (1.0) | 509 (6.0) | 9.5 (0.04) |
| Portugal |  | 30 (0.9) | 543 (2.2) | 52 (0.8) | 516 (2.4) | 18 (0.6) | 501 (3.5) | 9.6 (0.03) |
| Belgium (French) | $r$ | 28 (0.9) | 529 (3.6) | 48 (1.1) | 496 (3.2) | 24 (1.0) | 470 (3.4) | 9.3 (0.04) |
| Belgium (Flemish) |  | 27 (1.0) | 535 (2.6) | 46 (0.9) | 513 (2.8) | 27 (0.9) | 498 (2.9) | 9.2 (0.05) |
| Bahrain |  | 27 (0.8) | 488 (4.3) | 60 (0.9) | 458 (3.4) | 14 (0.5) | 427 (4.7) | 9.6 (0.02) |
| Croatia |  | 26 (1.3) | 575 (3.3) | 56 (1.0) | 557 (2.8) | 18 (1.2) | 535 (4.0) | 9.5 (0.05) |
| Slovenia |  | 26 (0.8) | 544 (2.8) | 57 (0.7) | 520 (1.9) | 17 (0.7) | 501 (3.2) | 9.4 (0.03) |
| Latvia |  | 24 (0.9) | 553 (3.4) | 54 (0.9) | 529 (3.0) | 22 (0.9) | 507 (4.9) | 9.3 (0.04) |
| South Africa $\ltimes$ | $r$ | 24 (0.8) | 342 (6.8) | 62 (0.8) | 283 (4.8) | 15 (0.5) | 270 (9.4) | 9.6 (0.03) |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of $\bowtie$ |  | 24 (1.0) | 449 (4.4) | 62 (0.9) | 405 (5.5) | 14 (0.8) | 385 (7.0) | 9.5 (0.04) |
| Saudi Arabia | $r$ | 23 (0.8) | 469 (4.6) | 62 (1.0) | 451 (3.8) | 15 (0.8) | 448 (5.7) | 9.5 (0.04) |
| Qatar | $r$ | 22 (0.9) | 512 (5.6) | 63 (1.2) | 491 (4.7) | 15 (0.9) | 485 (6.2) | 9.4 (0.03) |
| France |  | 22 (0.8) | 546 (3.1) | 57 (0.9) | 514 (2.8) | 21 (0.7) | 500 (3.4) | 9.3 (0.03) |
| Oman |  | 22 (0.9) | 451 (6.0) | 68 (1.0) | 428 (3.8) | 10 (0.6) | 407 (8.7) | 9.5 (0.03) |
| United Arab Emirates | s | 21 (0.5) | 534 (4.0) | 65 (0.6) | 491 (2.5) | 13 (0.4) | 488 (3.7) | 9.5 (0.01) |
| Russian Federation |  | 21 (0.9) | 587 (2.8) | 58 (1.1) | 566 (4.3) | 21 (0.9) | 550 (4.6) | 9.2 (0.04) |
| Singapore |  | 21 (0.6) | 622 (3.4) | 57 (0.7) | 589 (3.2) | 23 (0.6) | 571 (3.8) | 9.2 (0.03) |
| Morocco |  | 20 (1.1) | 410 (5.0) | 53 (1.7) | 377 (5.6) | 27 (2.1) | 338 (6.5) | 9.1 (0.07) |
| Brazil $\ltimes$ |  | 19 (0.9) | 456 (7.5) | 51 (0.8) | 423 (5.8) | 29 (1.1) | 401 (8.2) | 9.0 (0.04) |
| Macao SAR |  | 17 (0.6) | 551 (2.5) | 61 (0.7) | 535 (1.6) | 22 (0.6) | 526 (2.2) | 9.1 (0.02) |
| Kazakhstan |  | 17 (0.7) | 527 (3.8) | 70 (0.7) | 501 (2.7) | 13 (0.6) | 493 (4.6) | 9.4 (0.03) |
| Chinese Taipei |  | 15 (0.6) | 564 (3.3) | 62 (0.8) | 545 (2.2) | 23 (0.8) | 528 (3.3) | 9.1 (0.03) |
| Jordan |  | 15 (0.9) | 416 (6.4) | 62 (1.2) | 382 (5.8) | 23 (1.2) | 358 (8.2) | 9.1 (0.04) |
| Hong Kong SAR |  | 14 (0.8) | 592 (3.7) | 63 (0.9) | 573 (2.7) | 24 (0.7) | 567 (3.8) | 9.0 (0.03) |
| Egypt |  | 14 (0.7) | 416 (7.4) | 60 (1.4) | 379 (5.7) | 27 (1.5) | 367 (7.7) | 8.9 (0.04) |
| International Average |  | 30 (0.1) | 524 (0.5) | 52 (0.1) | 497 (0.5) | 17 (0.1) | 478 (0.8) |  |
| New Zealand | $x$ | 44 (1.4) | 563 (3.4) | 40 (1.2) | 532 (4.5) | 17 (0.8) | 508 (5.7) | 10.0 (0.06) |
| Netherlands | x | 39 (1.2) | 557 (3.3) | 41 (1.3) | 541 (3.6) | 21 (1.2) | 516 (4.5) | 9.6 (0.06) |
| Lithuania | $y$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Australia $\bowtie$ |  | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| England $\ltimes$ |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| United States |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alberta, Canada | s | 40 (2.0) | 573 (4.1) | 44 (1.7) | 551 (3.8) | 15 (1.1) | 540 (7.3) | 10.0 (0.08) |
| British Columbia, Canada | s | 38 (1.7) | 572 (3.8) | 46 (1.2) | 549 (4.7) | 16 (1.3) | 534 (5.4) | 9.9 (0.07) |
| Newfoundland \& Labrador, Canada | s | 38 (1.4) | 549 (3.7) | 45 (1.4) | 529 (4.7) | 18 (1.0) | 515 (6.5) | 9.9 (0.06) |
| Moscow City, Russian Federation |  | 32 (0.8) | 612 (2.1) | 54 (0.7) | 595 (2.3) | 14 (0.7) | 579 (3.9) | 9.8 (0.03) |
| Quebec, Canada | $r$ | 30 (1.3) | 576 (4.1) | 48 (1.0) | 558 (3.0) | 22 (1.1) | 543 (3.7) | 9.4 (0.06) |
| South Africa (6) $\begin{aligned} & \text { ( }\end{aligned}$ | r | 22 (0.8) | 436 (6.6) | 62 (0.8) | 380 (5.1) | 16 (0.7) | 366 (6.1) | 9.5 (0.04) |
| Abu Dhabi, UAE | s | 20 (0.7) | 513 (6.1) | 66 (1.0) | 457 (4.1) | 14 (0.6) | 450 (6.7) | 9.4 (0.02) |
| Dubai, UAE | X | 26 (0.8) | 594 (3.6) | 60 (1.0) | 555 (2.8) | 14 (0.8) | 555 (5.6) | 9.6 (0.03) |

[^1] comparisons, the scale centerpoint of 10 was located at the mean of the combined distribution. The units of the scale were chosen so that 2 scale score points corresponded to the standard deviation of the distribution.
( ) Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because of rounding some results may appear inconsistent.
An " r " indicates data are available for at least $70 \%$ but less than $85 \%$ of the students.
An "s" indicates data are available for at least $50 \%$ but less than $70 \%$ of the students.
An " $x$ " indicates data are available for at least $40 \%$ but less than $50 \%$ of the students-interpret with caution.
A " $y$ " indicates data are available for less than $40 \%$ of the students.
A dash (-) indicates comparable data not available.

## About the Scale

Students were scored on the Parents Like Reading scale according to their parents' responses to eight statements about reading as well as how often they read for enjoyment. Cut scores divide the scale into three categories. Students whose parents Very Much Like Reading had a score at or above the cut score corresponding to their parents "agreeing a lot" with four of the eight statements and "agreeing a little" with the other four, as well as reading for enjoyment "every day or almost every day," on average. Students whose parents Do Not Like Reading had a score at or below the cut score corresponding to their parents "disagreeing a little" with four of the eight statements and "agreeing a little" with the other four, as well as reading for enjoyment only "once or twice a month," on average. All other students had parents who Somewhat Like Reading.


[^2]Downloaded from https://pirls2021.org/results

## Could Do Early Literacy Tasks When Beginning Primary School

The Could Do Early Literacy Tasks When Beginning Primary School scale is based on parents' reports of how well their child could do six literacy tasks when their child began the first grade of primary school. For example, parents were asked how well their children could read sentences, read stories, or write words. Consistent with existing research, the PIRLS 2021 results show that students with an early start in literacy learning had higher average reading achievement at the fourth grade.

Exhibit 5.4 presents the percentages of students who could do early literacy tasks "very well," "moderately well," and "not well" when they began the first grade of primary school based on their parents' reports, together with the students' average reading achievement. Countries are listed in descending order by the percentage of students who could do the tasks "very well."

Across countries, on average, similar percentages of students could do the early literacy tasks "very well" (32\%), "moderately well" (35\%), or "not well" (34\%) before beginning primary school. Literacy readiness when beginning primary school was associated with higher reading achievement at the fourth grade. On average, students who could do the early literacy tasks "very well" when they began primary school had higher average reading achievement at fourth grade (524) than students who could do the early literacy tasks "moderately well" (498). The students in the "not well" category had the lowest average achievement (475).

## Assessed Fourth Grade Students at the End of the School Year

$\bowtie$ Assessed one year later than originally scheduled
$\square$ Delayed Assessment of Fourth Grade Cohort at the Beginning of Fifth Grade

| Country |  | Very Well |  | Moderately Well |  | Not Well |  | Average Scale Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent of Students | Average Achievement | Percent of Students | Average Achievement | Percent of Students | Average Achievement |  |
| Ireland |  | 60 (1.0) | 602 (2.5) | 30 (0.8) | 561 (3.6) | 10 (0.6) | 521 (5.3) | 11.9 (0.04) |
| United Arab Emirates | s | 56 (0.7) | 523 (2.3) | 31 (0.5) | 482 (3.1) | 13 (0.4) | 440 (4.5) | 11.7 (0.03) |
| Bahrain |  | 54 (0.8) | 484 (3.0) | 31 (0.7) | 452 (3.7) | 15 (0.5) | 402 (7.1) | 11.5 (0.03) |
| Oman |  | 53 (1.0) | 457 (3.7) | 34 (0.8) | 413 (5.1) | 13 (0.7) | 370 (6.4) | 11.5 (0.04) |
| Singapore |  | 52 (1.1) | 619 (2.3) | 37 (0.8) | 575 (3.5) | 11 (0.6) | 514 (5.7) | 11.7 (0.04) |
| Uzbekistan |  | 51 (1.3) | 451 (2.9) | 35 (0.9) | 427 (3.6) | 14 (0.9) | 415 (5.1) | 11.4 (0.06) |
| Qatar | r | 50 (1.3) | 513 (5.1) | 35 (1.0) | 489 (4.8) | 15 (0.8) | 451 (7.4) | 11.4 (0.05) |
| Kazakhstan |  | 49 (1.0) | 514 (3.2) | 34 (0.7) | 501 (3.1) | 17 (0.7) | 492 (4.6) | 11.3 (0.04) |
| Saudi Arabia | r | 48 (1.0) | 464 (4.0) | 34 (1.0) | 449 (4.3) | 18 (0.8) | 439 (5.3) | 11.3 (0.04) |
| Poland |  | 47 (1.0) | 570 (2.4) | 35 (1.1) | 541 (2.9) | 17 (0.9) | 518 (4.9) | 11.3 (0.03) |
| Albania |  | 47 (1.7) | 532 (3.2) | 33 (1.2) | 503 (4.3) | 20 (1.6) | 491 (6.1) | 11.1 (0.08) |
| Hong Kong SAR |  | 46 (1.1) | 596 (2.5) | 43 (0.9) | 565 (2.8) | 11 (0.6) | 525 (5.2) | 11.4 (0.04) |
| Croatia |  | 44 (1.2) | 580 (2.6) | 37 (1.2) | 546 (3.0) | 18 (1.0) | 528 (3.6) | 11.1 (0.04) |
| Spain |  | 43 (0.9) | 547 (2.4) | 37 (0.8) | 515 (2.6) | 20 (0.9) | 485 (2.9) | 11.1 (0.04) |
| Jordan |  | 42 (1.3) | 417 (5.4) | 36 (1.1) | 370 (6.2) | 23 (1.2) | 337 (8.6) | 11.0 (0.07) |
| Latvia |  | 41 (1.1) | 562 (2.7) | 39 (1.1) | 520 (3.7) | 20 (0.9) | 483 (5.6) | 11.1 (0.04) |
| Kosovo |  | 41 (1.2) | 434 (3.3) | 39 (1.1) | 420 (4.1) | 19 (0.9) | 406 (4.8) | 11.1 (0.05) |
| Egypt |  | 38 (1.7) | 402 (5.5) | 40 (1.4) | 374 (6.1) | 22 (1.4) | 359 (8.6) | 10.8 (0.07) |
| \|srael $\ltimes$ | s | 35 (1.1) | 521 (3.9) | 34 (0.8) | 513 (3.6) | 30 (1.0) | 510 (3.7) | 10.6 (0.05) |
| Serbia |  | 35 (1.1) | 539 (3.6) | 41 (1.0) | 508 (3.2) | 24 (1.1) | 492 (4.3) | 10.7 (0.05) |
| South Africa $\propto$ | r | 33 (0.9) | 329 (6.0) | 40 (0.8) | 304 (5.9) | 27 (0.8) | 248 (5.8) | 10.7 (0.04) |
| Cyprus |  | 32 (0.6) | 537 (3.3) | 35 (0.6) | 511 (2.8) | 33 (0.7) | 496 (4.0) | 10.5 (0.03) |
| Azerbaijan | r | 32 (1.1) | 466 (4.5) | 36 (1.0) | 444 (4.9) | 32 (1.2) | 418 (4.7) | 10.4 (0.06) |
| Sweden | s | 31 (1.0) | 588 (3.7) | 38 (0.9) | 556 (3.5) | 31 (1.2) | 529 (3.5) | 10.6 (0.05) |
| Chinese Taipei |  | 31 (0.7) | 570 (2.1) | 50 (0.8) | 543 (2.5) | 19 (0.6) | 508 (3.8) | 10.9 (0.02) |
| Morocco |  | 30 (1.3) | 421 (6.1) | 35 (1.3) | 369 (4.6) | 35 (1.8) | 338 (6.7) | 10.1 (0.12) |
| Denmark |  | 28 (0.8) | 572 (2.9) | 41 (0.8) | 541 (2.6) | 31 (0.8) | 514 (2.9) | 10.5 (0.03) |
| Russian Federation |  | 28 (1.3) | 594 (3.4) | 37 (1.1) | 569 (4.7) | 35 (1.8) | 545 (4.9) | 10.3 (0.09) |
| Finland |  | 28 (0.6) | 587 (2.5) | 29 (0.7) | 553 (2.9) | 44 (0.8) | 530 (2.6) | 10.2 (0.03) |
| North Macedonia |  | 28 (1.1) | 453 (7.1) | 38 (1.3) | 452 (5.5) | 34 (1.3) | 434 (6.0) | 10.3 (0.06) |
| Malta | r | 27 (1.0) | 548 (4.1) | 39 (1.0) | 526 (3.7) | 34 (1.2) | 502 (3.4) | 10.3 (0.05) |
| Georgia |  | 26 (1.1) | 513 (3.5) | 31 (0.8) | 499 (3.0) | 43 (1.3) | 488 (3.4) | 9.9 (0.06) |
| Bulgaria |  | 25 (0.9) | 574 (4.3) | 33 (1.1) | 557 (3.4) | 42 (1.4) | 509 (4.3) | 9.9 (0.09) |
| Iran, Islamic Rep. of $\propto$ |  | 25 (1.0) | 435 (5.4) | 35 (1.0) | 411 (5.1) | 40 (1.2) | 403 (6.7) | 10.0 (0.06) |
| Brazil $\ltimes$ |  | 24 (1.0) | 475 (7.1) | 34 (1.0) | 441 (5.9) | 42 (1.0) | 385 (7.6) | 10.0 (0.05) |
| Montenegro |  | 23 (0.7) | 512 (2.7) | 38 (0.7) | 490 (2.5) | 39 (0.8) | 473 (2.3) | 10.0 (0.03) |
| Macao SAR |  | 23 (0.6) | 565 (2.3) | 53 (0.7) | 538 (1.6) | 24 (0.6) | 503 (2.3) | 10.5 (0.02) |
| Turkiye |  | 22 (1.2) | 521 (3.5) | 24 (1.1) | 501 (3.8) | 54 (1.8) | 488 (5.1) | 9.2 (0.13) |
| France |  | 22 (0.8) | 539 (3.6) | 43 (0.8) | 520 (2.8) | 35 (0.8) | 504 (3.2) | 10.2 (0.03) |
| Czech Republic |  | 19 (0.8) | 567 (3.1) | 33 (0.8) | 540 (2.9) | 48 (0.9) | 540 (2.8) | 9.7 (0.04) |
| Portugal |  | 14 (0.7) | 546 (3.3) | 35 (0.7) | 524 (2.1) | 51 (0.8) | 513 (2.6) | 9.6 (0.03) |
| Slovenia |  | 14 (0.6) | 561 (2.9) | 26 (0.8) | 531 (2.9) | 61 (0.8) | 512 (2.2) | 9.1 (0.04) |
| Italy |  | 13 (0.5) | 559 (3.2) | 35 (0.8) | 540 (2.7) | 52 (0.9) | 534 (2.5) | 9.5 (0.03) |
| Austria |  | 13 (0.6) | 541 (5.6) | 26 (0.9) | 529 (2.9) | 62 (0.9) | 533 (2.2) | 9.2 (0.04) |
| Norway (5) |  | 12 (0.5) | 577 (4.3) | 29 (0.8) | 553 (2.6) | $59(0.8)$ | 531 (2.2) | 9.3 (0.03) |
| Belgium (French) | r | 11 (0.6) | 516 (4.4) | 34 (1.0) | 501 (3.2) | 55 (1.2) | 494 (3.6) | 9.4 (0.04) |
| Slovak Republic |  | 10 (0.8) | 549 (11.4) | 19 (0.8) | 537 (4.0) | 71 (1.0) | 529 (2.8) | 8.7 (0.06) |
| Belgium (Flemish) |  | 10 (0.4) | 519 (4.8) | 29 (0.8) | 512 (3.2) | 61 (0.8) | 516 (2.3) | 9.1 (0.04) |
| Germany | s | 9 (0.6) | 556 (6.5) | 24 (0.9) | 539 (3.9) | 67 (1.1) | 538 (2.9) | 9.0 (0.04) |
| Hungary | r | 9 (0.5) | 571 (7.2) | 16 (0.7) | 544 (5.7) | 75 (0.8) | 542 (3.4) | 8.1 (0.04) |
| International Average |  | 32 (0.1) | 524 (0.6) | 35 (0.1) | 498 (0.5) | 34 (0.1) | 475 (0.7) |  |
| New Zealand | $\times$ | 23 (1.1) | 561 (5.3) | 34 (1.1) | 546 (5.0) | 43 (1.0) | 529 (4.2) | 10.0 (0.05) |
| Netherlands | x | 12 (0.9) | 569 (5.2) | 33 (1.6) | 547 (3.6) | 54 (1.8) | 533 (3.6) | 9.5 (0.06) |
| Lithuania | y | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Australia $\infty$ |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| England $\ltimes$ |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Northern Ireland |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| United States |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Benchmarking Participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abu Dhabi, UAE | s | 53 (1.1) | 497 (3.7) | 33 (0.9) | 447 (6.1) | 14 (0.6) | 399 (7.2) | 11.6 (0.04) |
| Newfoundland \& Labrador, Canada | s | 43 (1.8) | 561 (4.0) | 39 (1.7) | 526 (4.4) | 18 (0.9) | 487 (7.9) | 11.2 (0.05) |
| Moscow City, Russian Federation |  | 39 (1.0) | 621 (2.3) | 41 (0.8) | 594 (2.2) | 21 (0.7) | 566 (2.6) | 11.0 (0.04) |
| South Africa (6) $\propto$ | r | 37 (1.2) | 414 (5.6) | 41 (0.9) | 392 (5.3) | 22 (0.9) | 356 (6.3) | 10.9 (0.05) |
| British Columbia, Canada | s | 36 (1.5) | 582 (4.6) | 40 (1.1) | 553 (3.9) | 24 (1.3) | 520 (5.2) | 10.9 (0.06) |
| Alberta, Canada | s | 33 (1.5) | 582 (4.0) | 40 (1.6) | 553 (4.1) | 27 (1.3) | 537 (5.3) | 10.7 (0.05) |
| Quebec, Canada | r | 21 (1.1) | 580 (4.0) | 40 (1.2) | 561 (3.3) | 39 (1.3) | 548 (3.9) | 10.1 (0.05) |
| Dubai, UAE | $\times$ | 56 (0.9) | 581 (2.8) | 33 (1.0) | 551 (3.0) | 12 (0.7) | 532 (5.6) | 11.7 (0.04) |

This PIRLS context questionnaire scale was established in 2011 based on the combined response distribution of countries that participated in PIRLS 2011. To provide a point of reference for country comparisons, the scale centerpoint of 10 was located at the mean of the combined distribution. The units of the scale were chosen so that 2 scale score points corresponded to the standard deviation of the distribution.
() Standard errors appear in parentheses. Because of rounding some results may appear inconsistent.

An "r" indicates data are available for at least $70 \%$ but less than $85 \%$ of the students.
An "s" indicates data are available for at least $50 \%$ but less than $70 \%$ of the students.
An "x" indicates data are available for at least $40 \%$ but less than $50 \%$ of the students-interpret with caution.
A " $y$ " indicates data are available for less than $40 \%$ of the students.
A dash (-) indicates comparable data not available.

## About the Scale

Students were scored according to their parents' reports regarding how well their children could do the six tasks on the Early Literacy Tasks scale when they began primary school. Cut scores divide the scale into three categories. Students who could do the tasks Very Well had a score at or above the cut score corresponding to their parents reporting the students could do three of the six tasks "very well" and the other three "moderately well," on average. Students who could do the tasks Not Well had a score at or below the cut score corresponding to their parents reporting the students could do three of the six tasks "not very well" and the other three "moderately well," on average. All other students could do the early literacy tasks Moderately Well when they began primary school.
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